Few-shot learning for End-to-End Automatic Speech Recognition

Dhanya Eledath

International Institute of Information Technology Bangalore (IIIT-B), India

dhanya.eledath@iiitb.ac.in

1. Key Challenges

Performance of ASR systems has improved substantially with the use of end-to-end trained deep learning models like Encoder-CTC [1], RNN-Transducer [2], Transformers [3] and Conformers [4], typically trained in supervised learning or selfsupervised learning settings.

Supervised models require a significant amount of labelled data to estimate the network weights and to generalise to new test data, for e.g., the Whisper models [5] are trained on 680,000 hours of labeled audio data to attain SOTA WER ranging from 2% to 36% on various benchmark datasets. Since transcribing audio is prohibitively expensive and time-consuming, most languages have only a few hours of labelled speech available. As a result, most current-day ASR systems are limited to a small number of resource-rich languages such as English.

Lately, self-supervised learning has gained a lot of popularity wherein foundation models are 'pre-trained' on very large unsupervised speech data and used for downstream tasks by supervised fine-tuning and inference. The performance of downstream tasks is dependent on the amount of unlabelled data used for pre-training and model complexity [6]. More pre-training data (can range from 54,000 hours [7] to million hours [8]) yields better models and representations, but at the cost of high training time and computing power [6].

Learning paradigms of these systems are very different from the way humans learn: e.g., humans learn novel concepts from a handful of examples leveraging on previous experience. The newly emerging framework Few-Shot Learning (FSL) [9, 10] is a paradigm shift from prevalent large data requirements and seeks an alternative to learning new concepts from a few examples (as few as 1 to 5) per class during inference.

FSL methods belong to the class of meta-learning frameworks [11] wherein the prior knowledge acquired from different similar tasks is used to learn a new task quickly using very few shots per class. The strength of the FSL framework lies in a cross-domain training-inference scenario, where, for example, efficient transferable models (or embedding functions or representations) are learnt from a large training corpus in one domain; such learnt embedding functions are used as prior knowledge to perform few-shot inference in a possibly different domain and with classes not seen during training, potentially without any fine-tuning on target domain data.

2. Research Contributions

FSL methods have been applied to various tasks in computer vision, natural language processing [9, 10] and speech tasks such as rare-word recognition [12], sound event detection [13, 14, 15] and keyword spotting [16]. FSL methods can be broadly grouped into data-based, model-based and optimization-based approaches based on the learnt prior knowledge [9].

Model-agnostic meta-learning (MAML) [18], an optimization based approach (under 'algorithm as prior knowledge') is the only framework that has been adapted to E2E ASR under



Figure 1: Left: Original Matching Networks (MN) by Vinyals et al. [17]. Right: Proposed MN-CTC framework for E2E ASR

the ambit of FSL. MAML has been applied for tasks like multilingual speech recognition [19, 20, 21], code-switched speech recognition [22] and cross-accented speech recognition [23].

In contrast to above MAML-based E2E ASR under 'algorithm-as-prior knowledge', my thesis focuses on exploring FSL techniques under 'model-as-prior-knowledge' for E2E ASR in a first-of-its-kind attempt, as outlined below in the form of a concise list of major contributions:

1. Examine FSL based on 'model-as-prior knowledge'

I have focused on a classic and pioneering FSL framework -Matching Networks (MN) [17], simultaneously falling within the broad paradigms of Meta learning, Embedding learning and Metric learning within an Episodic Training or Sampling setting, to account for the matched condition between meta multi-tasks during training and inference, being defined as a *N*-way, *K*-shot FSL problem.

2. In a first-of-its-kind attempt, adapt MN to E2E ASR

We first adapted the MN formulation (originally formulated for single image classification tasks as in Fig. 1, left panel) to frame-wise phoneme classification. This adaptation sets the basis for further applying the MN framework to continuous speech recognition. [Publication 1]

For E2E ASR, I integrated MN into a Connectionist Temporal Classification (CTC) [24] loss based end-to-end training and CTC-based prefix-decoding of continuous speech in a network termed MN-CTC. [Publication 2]

3. Apply cross-domain FSL definition to adapt MN-CTC to cross-lingual E2E-ASR

The primary characteristic of MN-CTC is the cross-domain applicability of the MN theory, where the test classes are different from the train classes. We applied MN-CTC to crosslingual E2E ASR (Row 2 of Table in Fig. 2) for Indo-Aryan and Dravidian family of languages. By this, the proposed MN-CTC framework is highly effective for low-resource target languages yielding PERs/CERs far lower than conventional cross-lingual 'transfer learning'. [**Publication 2**]

4. **Major departure from data-hungry deep-learning trends** Matching Networks, set in a metric-learning FSL framework is a distance-based classifier. This intrinsically allows for few-shot (*K*-shots/class) training data in the form of nonparametrically represented support-set training vectors as external memory (marked "A" in Fig. 1, right panel). We show that MN-CTC - which we derive from this framework - needs



Figure 2: Top Panel: FSL pipeline involving cross-lingual inference on low-resource target language and Bottom Panel: scenarios arising from the above architecture

as low as 15 min of data as inference support-set to perform cross-lingual inference on an unseen target language, and easily surpasses the performance of transfer learning frameworks under same few-shot conditions.

5. Explore architectural variants of the MN-CTC network

The labelled support set (annotated as 'A' in the right panel of Fig. 1) plays a crucial part in the MN framework during training and inference. For E2E ASR, we have proposed two architectural variants of MN-CTC for generating supervised support sets from continuous speech.

The first variant called 'Uncoupled MN-CTC' generates the support set 'outside' the MN-architecture and the second variant 'Coupled MN-CTC' generates the support set 'within' the MN-architecture through a multi-task formulation coupling the support-set generation loss and the main MN-CTC loss for jointly optimizing the support-sets and the embedding functions of MN. [**Publication 3**]

3. Methodology

3.1. Matching Networks (MN)

Matching Networks (MN) addresses the N-way K-shot FSL classification problem, where N (ways) is the number of classes and K (shots) is the number of examples per class. In the original MN framework (left panel in Fig. 1) by Vinyals et al. [17], the query (test sample) is an image sample (\mathbf{x}). MN embeds K-shot samples from N classes and the test sample \mathbf{x} into a discriminative embedding space using embedding functions. Set in a distance-based classifier framework, MN converts the distances between \mathbf{x} and the support set samples in the embedding space to a posterior estimate $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$, in a Neighborhood Component Analysis (NCA) [25] framework, used with cross-entropy (CE) loss for learning optimal embedding functions.

3.2. Adaptation of MN to E2E ASR

MN adaptation to E2E ASR using CTC loss (MN-CTC network) is depicted in the right panel of Fig. 1. Given an input continuous speech feature vector sequence $\underline{\mathbf{x}}$: $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_t, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_T$ and paired phone-label sequence ground truth $\underline{\mathbf{z}}$: $\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{z}_m, \ldots, \mathbf{z}_M, M \leq T$, MN-CTC converts the distances between each \mathbf{x}_t and the supportset samples to derive a posterior vector sequence $\underline{\mathbf{y}}$: $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_1, \hat{\mathbf{y}}_2, \ldots, \hat{\mathbf{y}}_t, \ldots, \hat{\mathbf{y}}_T$ as required by the CTC loss function during training or by the CTC prefix-search decoding on test continuous speech. The test query utterance $\underline{\mathbf{x}}$ and support set

samples are mapped by the learnt embedding functions to a highly discriminative space which allows classification of the query samples with very few labelled examples (*K*-shots).

4. Results and discussions

Here, we highlight few important results/observations inferred from the thesis directions and contributions discussed above.

4.1. Mono-lingual MN-CTC (Row 1 of Table in Figure 2) Dataset: TIMIT [26] and Librispeech [27] corpus.

Observation: We realize low phone-error-rate (PER) / character-error-rate (CER) with the proposed MN-CTC yielding breakthroughs in very low data requirements ('K' shots, with K being as small as 10 to 20 frames per phoneme class).

4.2. Cross-lingual MN-CTC (Row 2 of Table in Figure 2)

Dataset: 1) Indo-Aryan case - Hindi [28] as the source language, Gujarati and Marathi [29] as targets. 2) Dravidian case -Tamil as source, Malayalam and Kananda [29] as targets.

Observation: Proposed Cross-lingual MN-CTC model offers a PER/CER advantage as high as 20-25% (absolute), over the transfer learning baseline for target language adaptation data as low as 15min, making it suitable for ultra low-resource E2E ASR. Table 1 shows the CER for varying target adaptation sizes.

Table 1: Cross-Lingual MN-CTC CER results; Source Language - Hindi, Target languages - Marathi and Gujarati

| Target adaptation | Marathi | | Gujarati | |
|-------------------|---------|-------|----------|-------|
| data size | TL | MN | TL | MN |
| 15 min | 41.67 | 22.77 | 41.76 | 16.02 |
| 30min | 35.48 | 20.61 | 29.34 | 14.8 |
| 45min | 30.7 | 18.31 | 27.7 | 13.45 |
| 1hr | 22.25 | 15.35 | 22.38 | 13.36 |
| 2.5hrs | 13.73 | 10.54 | 18.44 | 10.93 |

4.3. Multi-lingual (Row 3 of Table in Figure 2)

Dataset: Indo-Aryan multi-lingual model trained on Hindi [28], Gujarati and Marathi [29]; Dravidian model on Tamil, Malayalam and Kananda [29]. Inference on target languages belonging to the respective family.

Observation: The Multi-lingual MN-CTC offers significant PER/CER performance advantage over a mono-lingual and cross-lingual MN-CTC, due to enhanced embedding functions learnt on phone classes with pooled multi-lingual data and consequent better generalizability to target languages.

5. Conclusion and Future Work

My primary focus is to bring in the strength of the cross-domain FSL property to E2E ASR and create a breakthrough in conventional high-resource settings, i.e., use 'ultra-low training data' FSL algorithms in place of current data-hungry deep learning systems. Future work will be along the following lines: 1) Explore 'Multi-cross' scenarios (Row 4 of the Table in Fig. 2) on Indo-Aryan and Dravidian language family to establish superior performance at ultra low-resource setting, 2) Set up FSL baselines like prototypical-network, relation-network, MAML based E2E ASR and non-FSL baselines like pre-trained foundation models.

6. Acknowledgements

We thank the MINRO (Machine Intelligence and Robotics) center at IIIT-B under which this work was carried out. This work was supported by Karnataka Innovation & Technology Society, Dept. of IT, BT and S&T, Govt. of Karnataka vide GO No. ITD 76 ADM 2017, Bengaluru; Dated 28.02.2018.

7. References

- A. Graves and N. Jaitly, "Towards end-to-end speech recognition with recurrent neural networks," in *International Conference on Machine Learning*, 2014.
- [2] A. Graves, "Sequence transduction with recurrent neural networks," ArXiv, vol. abs/1211.3711, 2012.
- [3] A. Vaswani, N. M. Shazeer, N. Parmar, J. Uszkoreit, L. Jones, A. N. Gomez, L. Kaiser, and I. Polosukhin, "Attention is all you need," in *NIPS*, 2017.
- [4] A. Gulati, J. Qin, C.-C. Chiu, N. Parmar, Y. Zhang, J. Yu, W. Han, S. Wang, Z. Zhang, Y. Wu, and R. Pang, "Conformer: Convolution-augmented Transformer for Speech Recognition," in *Proc. Interspeech 2020*, 2020, pp. 5036–5040.
- [5] A. Radford, J. W. Kim, T. Xu, G. Brockman, C. McLeavey, and I. Sutskever, "Robust speech recognition via large-scale weak supervision," *ArXiv*, vol. abs/2212.04356, 2022.
- [6] A.-R. Mohamed, H. yi Lee, L. Borgholt, J. D. Havtorn, J. Edin, C. Igel, K. Kirchhoff, S.-W. Li, K. Livescu, L. Maaløe, T. N. Sainath, and S. Watanabe, "Self-supervised speech representation learning: A review," *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing*, vol. 16, pp. 1179–1210, 2022.
- [7] A. Baevski, H. Zhou, A. rahman Mohamed, and M. Auli, "wav2vec 2.0: A framework for self-supervised learning of speech representations," *ArXiv*, vol. abs/2006.11477, 2020.
- [8] Y. Zhang, D. S. Park, W. Han, J. Qin, A. Gulati, J. Shor, A. Jansen, Y. Xu, Y. Huang, S. Wang, Z. Zhou, B. Li, M. Ma, W. Chan, J. Yu, Y. Wang, L. Cao, K. C. Sim, B. Ramabhadran, T. N. Sainath, F. Beaufays, Z. Chen, Q. V. Le, C.-C. Chiu, R. Pang, and Y. Wu, "Bigssl: Exploring the frontier of large-scale semi-supervised learning for automatic speech recognition," *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing*, vol. 16, pp. 1519–1532, 2021.
- [9] Y. Wang, Q. Yao, J. T. Kwok, and L. M. Ni, "Generalizing from a few examples: A survey on few-shot learning," ACM Comput. Surv., vol. 53, no. 3, Jun 2020. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1145/3386252
- [10] J. Lu, P. Gong, J. Ye, and C. Zhang, "Learning from very few samples: A survey," 2020.
- [11] T. M. Hospedales, A. Antoniou, P. Micaelli, and A. J. Storkey, "Meta-learning in neural networks: A survey," *IEEE Transactions* on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, vol. 44, pp. 5149– 5169, 2020.
- [12] L. Florian and V. N. Thang, "Meta-learning for improving rare word recognition in end-to-end ASR," in *ICASSP 2021 - 2021 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, 2021, pp. 5974–5978.
- [13] Y. Wang, J. Salamon, N. J. Bryan, and J. Pablo Bello, "Few-shot sound event detection," in *ICASSP 2020 - 2020 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing* (*ICASSP*), 2020, pp. 81–85.
- [14] K. Shimada, Y. Koyama, and A. Inoue, "Metric learning with background noise class for few-shot detection of rare sound events," in *ICASSP 2020 - 2020 IEEE International Conference* on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), 2020, pp. 616–620.
- [15] S.-Y. Chou, K.-H. Cheng, J.-S. R. Jang, and Y.-H. Yang, "Learning to match transient sound events using attentional similarity for few-shot sound recognition," in *ICASSP 2019 - 2019 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing* (*ICASSP*), 2019, pp. 26–30.
- [16] H. Seth, P. Kumar, and M. Srivastava, Prototypical Metric Transfer Learning for Continuous Speech Keyword Spotting with Limited Training Data, 01 2020, pp. 273–280.
- [17] O. Vinyals, C. Blundell, T. P. Lillicrap, K. Kavukcuoglu, and D. Wierstra, "Matching networks for one shot learning," in *NIPS*, 2016.

- [18] C. Finn, P. Abbeel, and S. Levine, "Model-agnostic meta-learning for fast adaptation of deep networks," in *International Conference* on Machine Learning, 2017.
- [19] J. Y. Hsu, Y. J. Chen, and H. Y. Lee, "Meta learning for end-toend low-resource speech recognition," *ICASSP 2020 - 2020 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pp. 7844–7848, 2019.
- [20] Y. Xiao, K. Gong, P. Zhou, G. Zheng, X. Liang, and L. Lin, "Adversarial meta sampling for multilingual low-resource speech recognition," in AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 2020.
- [21] S. Singh, R. Wang, and F. Hou, "Improved meta learning for low resource speech recognition," *ICASSP 2022 - 2022 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing* (*ICASSP*), pp. 4798–4802, 2022.
- [22] G. I. Winata, S. Cahyawijaya, Z. Liu, Z. Lin, A. Madotto, P. Xu, and P. Fung, "Learning Fast Adaptation on Cross-Accented Speech Recognition," in *Proc. Interspeech 2020*, Oct 2020, pp. 1276–1280.
- [23] G. I. Winata, S. Cahyawijaya, Z. Lin, Z. Liu, P. Xu, and P. Fung, "Meta-transfer learning for code-switched speech recognition," in *Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 2020.
- [24] A. Graves, S. Fernández, F. Gomez, and J. Schmidhuber, "Connectionist temporal classification: Labelling unsegmented sequence data with recurrent neural networks," in *Proceedings* of the 23rd International Conference on Machine Learning, ser. ICML '06. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2006, p. 369376. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1145/1143844.1143891
- [25] J. Goldberger, S. T. Roweis, G. E. Hinton, and R. Salakhutdinov, "Neighbourhood components analysis," in *NIPS*, 2004.
- [26] J. S. Garofolo, L. F. Lamel, W. M. Fisher, J. G. Fiscus, and D. S. Pallett, "DARPA TIMIT acoustic-phonetic continous speech corpus CD-ROM. NIST speech disc 1-1.1," NASA STI/Recon Technical Report N, p. 27403, Feb. 1993.
- [27] V. Panayotov, G. Chen, D. Povey, and S. Khudanpur, "Librispeech: An ASR corpus based on public domain audio books," in 2015 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, ICASSP 2015, South Brisbane, Queensland, Australia, April 19-24, 2015. IEEE, 2015, pp. 5206–5210. [Online]. Available: https: //doi.org/10.1109/ICASSP.2015.7178964
- [28] IITM, "IITM Hindi Speech Corpus: a corpus of native Hindi Speech Corpus," Speech signal processing lab, IIT Madras, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://github.com/Speech-Lab-IITM/ Hindi-ASR-Challenge
- [29] F. He, S.-H. C. Chu, O. Kjartansson, C. Rivera, A. Katanova, A. Gutkin, I. Demirsahin, C. Johny, M. Jansche, S. Sarin, and K. Pipatsrisawat, "Open-source Multi-speaker Speech Corpora for Building Gujarati, Kannada, Malayalam, Marathi, Tamil and Telugu Speech Synthesis Systems," in *Proceedings of The* 12th Language Resources and Evaluation Conference (LREC). Marseille, France: European Language Resources Association (ELRA), May 2020, pp. 6494–6503. [Online]. Available: https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/2020.lrec-1.800